## GRAPEVINE - COLLEYVILLE ISD VOTER SURVEY

September 8-9, 2015

N = 352 respondents margin of error: $\pm \mathbf{5 . 2 \%}$

## OBJECTIVES

To assess current levels of support and opposition to bond proposals of $\$ 125, \$ 200$, and $\$ 225$ million.

To measure willingness to pay additional monthly property taxes of specific amounts.

To measure the favorability of bond elements.

## QUESTIONNAIRE FORMAT



Introduction and Screeners


General \& Specific Issues


Initial Ballot (Q3)

Impact of Specific Information

Informed Ballot (Q33)
Multiple regression is used to measure importance of specific information between initial and informed ballots

## DISTRIBUTION OF INTERVIEWS



## DISTRIBUTION OF INTERVIEWS



## COMPARISON of SAMPLE FILE to RESPONDENTS

|  | $18-44$ yrs | $45-54$ yrs | $55-64$ yrs | $65+$ yrs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registration | $39 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Nov 2012 | $26 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| May 2015 | $16 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| May 2014 | $14 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| May 2013 | $12 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| May 2012 | $14 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| May 2011 | $13 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Nov 2010 | $15 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Survey N=352 | $28 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

## VOTING BEHAVIOR

QC. Thinking about local elections for a moment -- would you say that you vote in all, most, only some, very few, or none of the local elections dealing with bond issues, taxes and local development projects?

|  | All | Most | Only some | Very few | None | Unsure I <br> refused |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18-44$ | $19 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | $18 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | $31 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $65+$ | $40 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Parent | $21 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Non-parent | $32 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |



## CHILDREN

Q1. Do you currently have any children...


## QUALITY OF EDUCATION

Q2. How satisfied are you with the quality of education in the Grapevine-Colleyville Independent School District?

85\%


| Very <br> Satisfied | Total <br> Satisfied |  | Total <br> Dissatisfied |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60 \%$ | $92 \%$ | Parent | $6 \%$ |
| $53 \%$ | $79 \%$ | Non-parent | $6 \%$ |

9\%
6\%
4\% Somewhat
Satisfied
Unsure / refused

## INITIAL BALLOT

Q3. Grapevine-Colleyville ISD is considering calling a bond election for this May. No amount has been finalized yet, but if an election was held today, would you vote yes, in favor or no, against the issuance of $\$ 200$ million in bonds for the construction and renovation of school buildings, and to make other improvements, with the levying of a property tax.

52\%


27\%
28\% Strongly

20\%
12\% Somewhat

INITIAL BALLOT

|  | Base | Yes, in favor / Strongly | No, against/ Strongly | TOTAL YES, IN FAVOR | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { NO, } \\ \text { AGAINST } \end{gathered}$ | DEPENDS <br> I UNSURE | NET YES, IN FAVOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 352 | 28\% | 15\% | 52\% | 27\% | 20\% | 25\% |
| REGN - Sample Regions Colleyille Grapevine | $\begin{aligned} & 161 \\ & 191 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \% \\ & 33 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 \% \\ & \hline 12 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \% \\ & 60 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & 23 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 17 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & 37 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| D2 - Attendance Zone Colleyville Middle School Cross Timbers Middle School Grapevine Middle School Heritage Middle School Unsure / Refused | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 67 \\ & 74 \\ & 85 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $24 \%$ <br> $35 \%$ <br> $36 \%$ <br> $26 \%$ <br> $18 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20 \% \\ \hline 12 \% \\ 12 \% \\ 11 \% \\ 26 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & 49 \% \\ & 66 \% \\ & 57 \% \\ & 37 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & 28 \% \\ & 21 \% \\ & 20 \% \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & 23 \% \\ & 13 \% \\ & 23 \% \\ & 13 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & 22 \% \\ & 45 \% \\ & 37 \% \\ & -13 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| RESID - How long lived at this address in GCISD <br> 7 Years or Less 8-16 Years <br> 17 Years or More Unsure / Refused | $\begin{gathered} 109 \\ 114 \\ 113 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \% \\ 31 \% \\ \hline 23 \% \\ \hline 34 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ 15 \% \\ \hline 24 \% \\ \hline 19 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & 59 \% \\ & 41 \% \\ & 47 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \% \\ & 25 \% \\ & 38 \% \\ & 29 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 16 \% \\ & 21 \% \\ & 24 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ 34 \% \\ 3 \% \\ 18 \% \end{gathered}$ |

INITIAL BALLOT

|  | Base | Yes, in favor / Strongly | No, against / Strongly | TOTAL YES, IN FAVOR | TOTAL NO, AGAINST | DEPENDS <br> / UNSURE | NET YES, IN FAVOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 352 | 28\% | 15\% | 52\% | 27\% | 20\% | 25\% |
| SCPARENT - Parent of GCISD <br> Student <br> Parent <br> Non-Parent | $\begin{aligned} & 177 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & \hline 24 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ \hline 22 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \% \\ & 44 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & 39 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 17 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \% \\ 4 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| GENPART - Parents / Non- <br> Parents by Gender <br> Male parent <br> Female parent <br> Male non-parent <br> Female non-parent | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ 100 \\ 89 \\ 86 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \% \\ 35 \% \\ \hline 24 \% \\ \hline 25 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 8 \% \\ \hline 25 \% \\ \hline 18 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \% \\ & 60 \% \\ & 41 \% \\ & 47 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & 14 \% \\ & 41 \% \\ & 37 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \% \\ & 25 \% \\ & 17 \% \\ & 16 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \% \\ 46 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 9 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| RSCPART - Current / Former GCISD Parents <br> Current GCISD parent Former GCISD parent Not GCISD parent | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ 112 \\ 63 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & 25 \% \\ & \hline 23 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ 19 \% \\ \hline 27 \% \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \% \\ & 45 \% \\ & 41 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \% \\ 34 \% \\ 49 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 21 \% \\ & 10 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \% \\ & 11 \% \\ & -8 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RAGE55 - Age } \\ 18-44 \\ 45-54 \\ 55-64 \\ 65 \text { or older } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 86 \\ & 96 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \% \\ & 35 \% \\ & 25 \% \\ & \hline 17 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ 12 \% \\ 21 \% \\ \hline 26 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & 62 \% \\ & 50 \% \\ & 36 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & 21 \% \\ & 36 \% \\ & 43 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \% \\ & 17 \% \\ & 15 \% \\ & 21 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & 40 \% \\ & 14 \% \\ & -7 \% \end{aligned}$ |

## VARIOUS BOND AMOUNTS

Q3. Would you vote yes, in favor or no, against the issuance of $\$ 200$ million in bonds for the construction and renovation of school buildings, and to make other improvements, with the levying of a property tax.
$\square \begin{aligned} & \text { Yes, in } \\ & \text { favor }\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { No, } \\ & \text { against }\end{aligned} \quad \square \begin{aligned} & \text { Depends / } \\ & \text { Unsure }\end{aligned}$


Q4. Would you vote yes, in favor or no, against the issuance of $\$ 125$ million in school bonds for the construction and renovation of school buildings, and to make other improvements, with the levying of a property tax?

Q5. Would you vote yes, in favor or no, against the issuance of $\$ 225$ million in school bonds for the construction and renovation of school buildings, and to make other improvements, with the levying of a property tax?


Read before \$125M
+7\%
-1\%

Read after \$125M -9\%

## BOND ELEMENTS

One element could include adding classrooms to Grapevine Elementary School, Timberline Elementary Q6 School and Grapevine Middle School to handle overcrowding and enrollment growth in Grapevine.

Colleyville Middle School is 40 years old. One element could include rebuilding Colleyville Middle
Q7 School so it can meet students' current educational needs.
The original section of Cannon Elementary School is 56 years old and has a cracked foundation. One element could replace the building with a new school built for the existing Science, Technology,
Q8 Engineering and Math Program.
This bond could allow for improvements to heating and cooling systems and replacing exterior lighting with LED, all of which make schools more energy efficient and save on utility costs in the long run.
Studies have shown Pre-K education provides a foundation for higher graduation and leads to better performing students who earn higher wages. One element could include construction of a district-wide Q10 Pre-K facility.

One element could include (Version Y: 20 million dollars in) construction of two Multipurpose Activity
Q11 Centers for use by students for practices and activities prevented by lightning or outdoor conditions.
One element could include safety and security improvements to schools such as updated and expanded emergency communications systems, added surveillance cameras, and improved entries for
Q12 secured entrance access.
One element could include renovations to improve learning environments by creating multi-purpose areas for students to collaborate in small groups, conduct experiments and incorporate more hands-on
Q13 learning.
One element could include (Version Y: 18 million dollars in) improvements to athletic facilities such as improved lighting at tennis and baseball facilities, replacing old scoreboards at outdoor sports fields
Q14 and gymnasiums, and addressing structural deficiencies at Mustang-Panther stadium.
One element could include (Version Y: 20 million dollars in) improvements to technology infrastructure Q15 and digital learning devices that play a critical role in today's 21 st Century classrooms and education. 7 or $12 \%$ of the district's buses have exceeded their 15 year life span and are costly to maintain. One Q16 element could include purchasing new and more fuel efficient buses.
$29 \%$ of the district's schools are over 37 years old and $53 \%$ are over 20 years old. One element could include maintenance and repairs of these aging buildings such as improving flooring, roofing, air Q17 conditioning, kitchen equipment, electrical systems and plumbing.

An advisory committee of citizens, business leaders, teachers and parents will evaluate and prioritize the district's 500 million dollars in needs and any bond proposal will include recommendations from this Q18 citizen's group.

Because of rising construction costs and historically low interest rates, this bond package could save taxpayers money because it is less expensive to address these facilities now than to wait and do it five Q19 or ten years from now.

| Favor | Oppose | No Diff / Unsure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Impact } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81\% | 17\% | 2\% | +65\% |
| 62\% | 34\% | 4\% | +28\% |
| 77\% | 18\% | 6\% | +59\% |
| 86\% | 13\% | 1\% | +73\% |
| 62\% | 35\% | 3\% | +27\% |
| 55\% | 42\% | 3\% | +14\% |
| 86\% | 13\% | 2\% | +73\% |
| 75\% | 24\% | 2\% | +51\% |
| 57\% | 41\% | 2\% | +16\% |
| 81\% | 17\% | 1\% | +64\% |
| 79\% | 19\% | 2\% | +60\% |
| 86\% | 12\% | 2\% | +75\% |
| 82\% | 13\% | 5\% | +69\% |
| 80\% | 17\% | 4\% | +63\% |

X: +13\% Y: +15\%

X: +25\%
Y: +8\%
X: +67\%

Baselice \& Associates, Inc. Project\# 15211

## VARIOUS BOND AMOUNTS \& TAX IMPACT

Please tell me if you would be willing to pay these additional monthly property taxes. To start...

$\square$ Yes $\quad \square$ No $\square$| Depends /Net <br> Unsure$\quad \underline{\text { Yes }}$ |
| :--- |

Q20. An additional $\$ 13.47$ per month in property taxes for school bonds?

Q21. An additional $\$ 24.20$ per month in property taxes for school bonds?

$$
54 \% ~ 4 \%-12 \%
$$

[23\% Strongly]
[37\% Strongly]

Q22. An additional $\$ 27.78$ per month in property taxes for school bonds?


58\% 4\% -20\%
[22\% Strongly]
[42\% Strongly]

| VARTOUS | BOND | AMOUNTES \& | $T \mathrm{~T}$ T | TAX TMPACT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$13.47 | Strong Yes | Strong No | Total Yes | Total No | Net |
| TOTAL | 40\% | 21\% | 64\% | 32\% | +32\% |
| After bond elements | 46\% | 18\% | 68\% | 29\% | +39\% |
| Before bond elements | 35\% | 24\% | 60\% | 35\% | +25\% |
| Read first | 35\% | 22\% | 57\% | 36\% | +22\% |
| Read last | 45\% | 20\% | 70\% | 28\% | +42\% |
| \$24.20 | Strong Yes | Strong No | Total Yes | Total No | Net |
| TOTAL | 23\% | 37\% | 42\% | 54\% | -12\% |
| After bond elements | 28\% | 36\% | 44\% | 55\% | -11\% |
| Before bond elements | 19\% | 37\% | 40\% | 53\% | -14\% |
| Read after \$13.47 | 15\% | 43\% | 31\% | 63\% | -33\% |
| Read after \$27.78 | 32\% | 31\% | 53\% | 45\% | +8\% |
| \$27.78 | Strong Yes | Strong No | Total Yes | Total No | Net |
| TOTAL | 22\% | 42\% | 38\% | 58\% | -20\% |
| After bond elements | 28\% | 42\% | 42\% | 56\% | -14\% |
| Before bond elements | 16\% | 42\% | 34\% | 59\% | -25\% |
| Read last | 12\% | 52\% | 22\% | 74\% | -52\% |
| Read first | 31\% | 32\% | 54\% | 41\% | +13\% |

VARIOUS BOND AMOUNTS \& TAX IMPACT

# ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING ISD TAXES \& BONDS 

Q25. (Ver X: Taxes raised in support of / Ver Y: Revenues generated by) school bonds cannot be taken by the state and given to other districts, meaning 100\% of the bond funds will remain in the community.

Q24. All projects of the 2011 bond were completed and the bond program was delivered under budget and ahead of schedule.

Q23. In the past 10 years, the district has not raised the property tax rate for daily operating costs and the district's property tax rate is lower today than it was in 2006.

Q26. A $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0}$ million bond would increase property taxes by $\$ 24.20$ per month on the average home in the district, but because state law freezes tax rates for residents 65 years of age or older, senior citizens would NOT pay any additional taxes associated with the bond.


[36\% Strongly]
17\% 12\% [10\% Strongly]

## ATTITUDINAL STATEMENTS - I

Net

Q29. A top quality school district enhances my property value and strengthens our community.



## ATTITUDINAL STATEMENTS - II



Q32. The bond proposal includes a lot of unneeded and costly items and voters should insist on something better.

Q30. Four years ago voters passed a $\$ 124.5$ million bond, which increased the district's property tax rate. It is not time for another bond.

Q31. My taxes are already high enough and I cannot support a bond measure at this time. 40\%

## INFORMED BALLOT

Q33. Having heard more about it, if an election was held today, would you vote yes, in favor or no, against the issuance of $\$ 200$ million in bonds for the construction and renovation of school buildings, and to make other improvements, with the levying of a property tax.

61\%


Yes, in favor
Depends /
No, against Unsure

33\%
15\% Somewhat

19\% Strongly

## INFORMED BALLOT

Q33. Having heard more about it, if an election was held today, would you vote yes, in favor or no, against the issuance of $\$ 200$ million in bonds for the construction and renovation of school buildings, and to make other improvements, with the levying of a property tax.

| INTTMAL | Base | Yes, in <br> favor / <br> Strongly | No, <br> against / <br> Strongly | TOTAL <br> YES, IN <br> FAVOR | TOTAL <br> NO, <br> AGAINST | DEPENDS <br> IUNSURE | NET YES, <br> IN FAVOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |
| SCPARENT Parent of GCISD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student | $\mathbf{1 7 7}$ | $32 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Parent | $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Non-Parent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GENPART - Parents / Non- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parents by Gender | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $29 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Male parent | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $35 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Female parent | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Male non-parent | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $25 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| INFORMED | Base | Yes, in favor / Strongly | No, against / Strongly | TOTAL YES, IN FAVOR | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { NO, } \\ \text { AGAINST } \end{gathered}$ | DEPENDS I UNSURE | NET YES, IN FAVOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 352 | 33\% | 19\% | 61\% | 33\% | 6\% | 28\% |
| SCPARENT - Parent of GCISD <br> Student <br> Parent <br> Non-Parent | $\begin{aligned} & 177 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \% \\ & 29 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 24 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & 51 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 43 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ 8 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| GENPART - Parents / Non- <br> Parents by Gender Male parent <br> Female parent <br> Male non-parent <br> Female non-parent | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ 100 \\ 89 \\ 86 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & 42 \% \\ & 26 \% \\ & 32 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & 12 \% \\ & 27 \% \\ & 21 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & 72 \% \\ & 43 \% \\ & 60 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & 23 \% \\ & 50 \% \\ & 37 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & 6 \% \\ & 8 \% \\ & 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \% \\ & 49 \% \\ & -7 \% \\ & 24 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

## COMPARISON OF INITIAL \& INFORMED BALLOTS



## REGRESSION (CORRELATION) ANALYSIS

Q15. One element could include (Version Y: \$20 million in) improvements to technology infrastructure and digital learning devices that play a

| Strongly <br> Favor |
| :---: |

 critical role in today's 21st Century classrooms and education.
Solidifiers and Switchers $\square$

Q13. One element could include renovations to improve learning environments by creating multipurpose areas for students to collaborate in small groups, conduct experiments and incorporate more hands-on learning.
Solidifiers and Switchers $\square$


## CALCULATING CORRELATION SCORES

Q15. One element could include (Version Y: \$20 million in) improvements to technology infrastructure and digital learning devices that play a

| Strongly Favor | Somewhat Favor | No Diff / Unsure | Somewhat Oppose | Strongly Oppose | Net Favor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 50\% |  | 1\% | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & 1 \% \end{aligned}$ | +64\% | critical role in today's $21^{\text {st }}$ Century classrooms and education.



Tied for $10^{\text {th }}$ highest score out of 14 elements
Q13. One element could include renovations to improve learning environments by creating multipurpose areas for students to collaborate in small groups, conduct experiments and incorporate more hands-on learning.

$2^{\text {nd }}$ highest score out of 14 elements


## ELEMENT MATRIX

## Total Sample

Because of rising construction costs and historically low interest rates, this bond package could save taxpayers money because it is less expensive to address these facilities now than to wait and do it five or ten years from now (Q19).

One element could include renovations to improve learning environments by creating multipurpose areas for students to collaborate in small groups, conduct experiments and incorporate more hands-on learning (Q13).

One element could include adding classrooms to Grapevine Elementary School, Timberline Elementary School and Grapevine Middle School to handle overcrowding and enrollment growth in Grapevine (Q6).

## Parent

One element could include renovations to improve learning environments by creating multipurpose areas for students to collaborate in small groups, conduct experiments and incorporate more hands-on learning (Q13).

This bond could allow for improvements to heating and cooling systems and replacing exterior lighting with LED, all of which make schools more energy efficient and save on utility costs in the long run (Q9).

The original section of Cannon Elementary School is 56 years old and has a cracked foundation. One element could replace the building with a new school built for the existing Science, Technology, Engineering and Math Program (Q8).

Non-Parent
One element could include adding classrooms to Grapevine Elementary School, Timberline Elementary School and Grapevine Middle School to handle overcrowding and enrollment growth in Grapevine (Q6).

> Because of rising construction costs and historically low interest rates, this bond package could save taxpayers money because it is less expensive to address these facilities now than to wait and do it five or ten years from now (Q19).

An advisory committee of citizens, business leaders, teachers and parents will evaluate and prioritize the district's 500 million dollars in needs and any bond proposal will include recommendations from this citizen's group (Q18).

